

Roadmap to Bahnsen on the Supernatural

This is a jump page to all 18 parts of my examination of Bahnsen's attempt to deal with "The Problem of Knowing the 'Super-Natural'," which he undertakes in the 31st chapter of his book *Always Ready*.

[Part 1: Introduction](#)

[Part 2: "The Reproach of the Transcendent"](#)

[Part 3: "Defining the Metaphysical"](#)

[Part 4: "Fundamental Distinctions"](#)

[Part 5: "A Comprehensive Metaphysic"](#)

[Part 6: "The Christian Metaphysic"](#)

[Part 7: "Distinguishing Appearance from Reality"](#)

[Part 8: "Ultimate Questions"](#)

[Part 9: "Suprasensible Reality"](#)

[Part 10: "Pure Motives?"](#)

[Part 11: "The Case Against Metaphysics"](#)

[Part 12: "Logical Positivism"](#)

[Part 13: "Double Standards and Begging the Question"](#)

[Part 14: "Philosophical Self-Deception"](#)

[Part 15: "Further Difficulties"](#)

[Part 16: "No Predictability"](#)

[Part 17: "Naturalism versus Supernaturalism as Worldviews"](#)

[Part 18: Final Assessment and Conclusion](#)

I have also assembled all 18 parts into a single document which is now available on [my website](#) in two different formats:

[Bahnsen on "Knowing the Supernatural" \(HTML\)](#)

[Bahnsen on "Knowing the Supernatural" \(PDF\)](#)

Again Greg Bahnsen has been answered.

Where are his defenders?

Where are his rescuers?

Perhaps silence is golden after all.

by Dawson Bethrick

Labels: [Always Ready](#), [Knowledge](#), [Presuppositional Gimmickry](#)

posted by Bahnsen Burner at [6:00 AM](#)

1 Comments:

[Singh](#) said...

Sure, you have answered Bahnsen.

Whether you have answered correctly is another story.

Your unargued presupposition that all existence, life, mind, and reason it itself is the the result of mindless processes is still hanging out their twisiting in the wind.

Science has certainly not demonstrated your presuppositions of course, but your faith that it will is obviously great.

And I do use faith in the same perjorative sense that you do, in this context.

Frankly, your self referential rehashes and baseless moral pronouncements are unconvincing.

[September 25, 2007 5:56 AM](#)

[Post a Comment](#)