

Friday, March 26, 2010

Incinerating Presuppositionalism: Year Five

Join me as I celebrate today, the fifth anniversary of [Incinerating Presuppositionalism](#). That's right - five whole years of torching the scarecrows and strawmen of Vantillian apologetics. What could be funner?

Still unrefuted, I'm happy to report.

Year Five was mighty productive! Below is the list of the 50 entries which I posted since the fourth anniversary of my blog:

175. [Incinerating Presuppositionalism: Year Four](#) - March 26, 2009
176. [Was Jesus a Great Moral Teacher?](#) - April 1, 2009
177. [Three Questions on the Resurrection](#) - April 4, 2009
178. [Q and A on Atheism](#) - April 7, 2009
179. [Ultimate Questions](#) - April 28, 2009
180. [Non-Cognitivism or Metaphysical Primacy: What's the Better Strategy?](#) - May 15, 2009
181. [The "Necessary Being" Versus "Contingent Being" Argument](#) - May 22, 2009
182. [Theistic Misuse of the Concepts of Meaning, Value and Purpose](#) - June 19, 2009
183. [Demystifying Universality](#) - June 22, 2009
184. [Is the "immaterial" Actually Imaginary?](#) - June 24, 2009
185. [Is Existence Merely an Attribute?](#) - June 26, 2009
186. [Does Logic Presuppose the Christian God? Part I: Examining the Presuppositionalist Viewpoint](#) - June 29, 2009
187. [Does Logic Presuppose the Christian God? Part II: Reasons Why Logic Cannot Presuppose the Christian God, #1: Christianity's Lack of Objectivity](#) - July 2, 2009
188. [Does Logic Presuppose the Christian God? Part II: Reasons Why Logic Cannot Presuppose the Christian God, #2: Christianity's Lack of Concept Theory](#) - July 4, 2009
189. [Does Logic Presuppose the Christian God? Part II: Reasons Why Logic Cannot Presuppose the Christian God, #3: Contradictions in Christ](#) - July 6, 2009
190. [Does Logic Presuppose the Christian God? Part II: Reasons Why Logic Cannot Presuppose the Christian God, #4: The Trinity](#) - July 8, 2009
191. [The Concept of "Chance": Right and Wrong Uses](#) - July 10, 2009
192. [Chris Bolt on the Conditions of Knowledge](#) - July 24, 2009
193. [A Rejoinder to Chris Bolt](#) - July 26, 2009

194. [RazorsKiss on the Christian God as the Basis of Knowledge - Part 1: Overview of RK's Epistemology](#) - August 13, 2009
195. [RazorsKiss on the Christian God as the Basis of Knowledge - Part 2: RK's Axioms](#) - August 18, 2009
196. [RazorsKiss on the Christian God as the Basis of Knowledge - Part 3: RK's "No Brute Facts" Claim](#) - August 19, 2009
197. [RazorsKiss on the Christian God as the Basis of Knowledge - Part 4: RK on Man's "Warrant" for Using Logic](#) - August 20, 2009
198. [RazorsKiss on the Christian God as the Basis of Knowledge - Part 5: Exodus 3:14](#) - August 21, 2009
199. [RazorsKiss on the Christian God as the Basis of Knowledge - Part 6: RK on the Christian's "Certainty"](#) - August 24, 2009
200. [RazorsKiss on the Christian God as the Basis of Knowledge - Part 7: Rival Epistemologies](#) - August 25, 2009
201. [RazorsKiss on the Christian God as the Basis of Knowledge - Part 8: The "Impossibility of the Contrary"](#) - August 26, 2009
202. [RazorsKiss on the Christian God as the Basis of Knowledge - Part 9: Supernatural Deception](#) - August 28, 2009
203. [Response to Madmax](#) - August 31, 2009
204. [Chris Bolt's Questions](#) - September 1, 2009
205. [Bolt's Loose Screws](#) - September 4, 2009
206. [Bolt's Leaking Boat](#) - September 6, 2009
207. [Can the Water in My Drinking Glass Turn into Merlot?](#) - September 9, 2009
208. [Natural Revelation: Direct Apprehension or Inference?](#) - September 11, 2009
209. [Chris Bolt on Hume and Induction](#) - October 7, 2009
210. [Bolt on Evidence and the Need to Take a Claim Seriously](#) - October 14, 2009
211. [Kreeft on the Design Argument](#) - November 21, 2009
212. [The Argument from Predication](#) - December 26, 2009
213. [Are Non-Christians Unable to "Account for" Their Counting?](#) - January 9, 2010
214. [How Theism Violates the Primacy of Existence](#) - February 5, 2010
215. [The Uniformity of Nature](#) - February 12, 2010
216. [Humean Causality and Presuppositionalism](#) - March 5, 2010
217. [Causality as a Necessary Relationship](#) - March 10, 2010
218. [John Robbins and the Foreclosure of His Critique of Objectivism](#) - March 11, 2010
219. [Extra! Extra! Read all about it! Irrefutable Evidence that Christianity is True!](#) - March 13, 2010

- 220. [Bolt's Pile of Knapp, Pt. 1](#) - March 19, 2010
- 221. [Bolt's Pile of Knapp, Pt. 2](#) - March 20, 2010
- 222. [Bolt's Pile of Knapp, Pt. 3](#) - March 21, 2010
- 223. [Bolt's Pile of Knapp, Pt. 4](#) - March 22, 2010
- 224. [Bolt's Pile of Knapp, Pt. 5](#) - March 23, 2010

Over the past year, as in previous years, I have interacted with numerous defenders of the Christian faith, the self-anointed spokesmen for the super-spook of a primitive philosophy which can be credited for no greatness whatsoever, and which is responsible for much stagnation and degeneration in the modern west, either directly or indirectly. Many of these folks have formal educations in the field of Christian apologetics. But they can't hold a candle to me. My work shuts up their mouths, stops their pens, and turns off their keyboards.

Personal highlights over this past year include my series on logic (posts 186-190), my review of RazorsKiss' debate with Mitch LeBlanc (posts 194-202), several posts on concepts (e.g., posts 182,183, 191, 213), and several posts addressing the presuppositionalist attack on induction (e.g., posts 207, 209, 215-218, 220-224).

Some of these series have already made their way to my website, [Katholon.com](#), which may be a handy source if you're ever confronted by a presuppositionalist. For instance, if an apologist claims that logic presupposes the Christian god and that one cannot "account for" it without his god's existence, send him [here](#). If an apologist claims that knowledge "presupposes" the Christian god, send him to my [review of RazorsKiss' debate with Mitch LeBlanc](#). If he says that the uniformity of nature requires the existence of the Christian god, give him [this link](#) which will leave no doubt that presuppositionalists are DOA (i.e., *defeated on arrival*) on the matter.

I plan to be active over the next year, but as always I am a most busy man. Some months will be more productive than others. But you can continue to expect delicious content here.

So here's to enjoying another year of [Incinerating Presuppositionalism](#).

Regards,

Dawson

Labels: [IP Archives](#)

posted by Bahnsen Burner at [5:00 AM](#)

4 Comments:

[Burgess Laughlin](#) said...

Congratulations!

[March 26, 2010 6:10 AM](#)

[Jay](#) said...

Another great year, good work.

[March 26, 2010 8:44 AM](#)

[Harold](#) said...

Cool beans.

[March 27, 2010 6:33 AM](#)

[Robert Morane](#) said...

Congratulations from me too, Dawson!

For a while, I've wanted to thank you for making such a difficult subject so easy to understand! Your ability to make the most difficult concept crystal clear is unrivaled!

I would go so far as to say you're the Asimov or the Sagan of philosophy!

At some point, I'll be expecting a book! ;-)

[March 29, 2010 3:37 PM](#)

[Post a Comment](#)